# LIMITED PREFERENTIAL VOTING AND ENGA POLITICAL CULTURE

## Philip Gibbs

The experience of the 2002 Elections in the Enga Province shows a political culture developing in which healthy competition and individual freedom are compromised. It is a culture of violence and intimidation, with new kinds of tribalism and a new type of leader who has access to guns and the ability to open or obstruct access to money and resources. The stakes are high with large discretionary "electoral development funds" available to members of parliament. Elections are viewed by many as a form of investment, with successful candidates rewarding their supporters and disregarding others. There were seventeen candidates for the Enga provincial seat covering the entire province, and 137 candidates for seats in the five open electorates in Enga. Following the elections, there were court challenges in all electorates. This article will focus on events in the Wabaq Open electorate.

During the confusion and violence of the 2002 Elections in Enga, Samuel Tei Abal was declared elected for the Wabag Open seat. The results provided by the Electoral Commission on 26 July 2002 showed that of the twenty-five candidates, two were obviously in the lead: Samuel Tei Abal with 12,438 votes (24.7%) and Daniel Kapi with 10,234 (20.3%). Daniel Kapi successfully challenged Abal in the National and Supreme Courts, which judged that the election of Tei Abal was null and void on the grounds of "determining ballot papers being destroyed by Av-gas bombing at the Wabag police station during the 2002 National Elections" (*Post-Courier* 15 Nov 2004:4). A By-Election was ordered. Unlike the 2002 Elections, which followed the first-past-the-post (FPP) system, this By-Election for Wabag Open was to be held using the Limited Preferential Voting (LPV) System for the first time.

With the By-Election set for 16 November 2004, campaigning began in earnest in the Wabag District. It became obvious that it would be a contest between Kapi and Tei Abal. Daniel Kapi, a former Director of Consumer Affairs, had held the Wabag Open seat from 2000 to 2002 after his successful court challenge following the 1997 National Elections. His unseating of Takai Kapi from Maramuni meant a loss

of support in 2004 in the Maramuni District.4 The son of Sir Tei Abal, a former Opposition Leader and long term member for Wabag, Sam Abal had worked overseas with the Department of Foreign Affairs, and had been Provincial Administrator in the Enga Province; in the latter role he had had influence on the distribution of development projects throughout the province. Sam Abal was reputedly being supported by Governor Peter Ipatas, though the Governor denied this (Post-Courier 9 Nov 2004:3). Supporters of "action" Governor lpatas point to his support for education, the construction of the Provincial Government building (Green House), the Enga Mioks Rugby League Club's efforts to engage school leavers and unemployed men in sports, the provision of vehicles, the improvement of the annual Enga Show, and the sealing of the Wapenamanda to Wabag Highway. However, others claim that Peter Ipatas' supporters get wealthy overnight and "eat rice" (= money), while they struggle to survive with few services provided for them. Five weeks before the election, the political climate heated up considerably when it was announced that Governor lpatas had been referred to the Public Prosecutor for alleged misconduct in office over his annual financial statements to the Ombudsman Commission and use of public funds (Post-Courier 12 Oct 2004:1). Consequently, in some of his campaign speeches, Daniel Kapi referred to Governor Ipatas as a "dead man". Just eight days before the election, newspaper headlines reported Kapi's claim that he had been assaulted by Governor Ipatas, "inflicting cuts and bruises on his face and body" (Post-Courier 8 Nov 2004:1). The apparent antipathy between Governor Ipatas and Daniel Kapi is remarkable, since they are "brothers", their mothers being sisters.

In order to help maintain peace, a liquor ban was declared during the campaign and election period. An additional 800 police personnel were brought into the province (normally there are 150 police officers stationed in the Enga Province). No doubt this contributed to a much more peaceful election than that of 2002. The police contingent for the Wabag Open By-Election cost K3.8 million (*Post-Courier* 17 Dec 2004:2).

This paper will address the issue of whether and how the LPV System of voting has so far made any difference to the culture of politics in Enga. The focus will be on voting for the two leading candidates, Samuel Tei Abal and Daniel Kapi.

## Campaign Period

It is particularly difficult to get concrete facts about the distribution of money and resources to aid candidates during the campaign and election period. However, it is common knowledge that the system of material incentives given to attract votes continued in 2004. When is a government vehicle being used for legitimate purposes and when not? When is a call for unity in the province desirable, and when is it a threat against any form of dissent? Why were magistrates and peace officers in the Wabag District paid their wages before the elections, while those in other districts had to wait? Truth and falsehood, right and wrong appear relative to which side of the political fence one is sitting (see Lakane and Gibbs 2003, Kanaparo 2003). In fact, many public servants fear losing their jobs if they speak out against the Provincial Government or are known to support any candidate opposing the Government. This is the logical consequence of a politicised public service, which is characteristic of contemporary Enga political culture.

## Voting

It seems that many people did not have a secret individual vote as is the democratic ideal promoted by the Electoral Commission. Near Wabag Town itself, with the significant presence of police, people did have a chance to come and mark their own ballot papers. However, in other places the voting followed any of three procedures typical of Enga electoral politics.

- 1. Turn the Table (tanim tebol). Through argument, bribery or perhaps intimidation, voters at a polling place give all their votes to one candidate. In this case, the common roll is not used and "voters" are concerned with first preferences only. Papers are all marked by polling officials or community leaders.
- 2. The common roll is read only partially. Electoral officers ask heads of families: "X (name), how many papers?" The head of a family tells the number in his family who he wants to vote and the electoral officer gives him the papers to mark for his family or he gets help from electoral officials to mark the papers.
- 3. Local leaders arrange for people to separate into groups, in this case, those supporting Abal and those supporting Kapi.

Each group then appointed a person to mark the ballot papers for them. When names are called from the roll, it is obvious who a person is voting for. They simply give a sign and the appointed scribe takes the signed ballot paper from the polling clerk, marks the ballot paper and drops it in the ballot box. Again, this method concentrates on first preferences. Those questioned on how they participated in this form of "voting" say they do not know how the second and third preferences were marked.

#### Women

It is argued that the LPV System allows more freedom to women, particularly women who feel constrained to vote for the candidate of their husband or brother's choice in a FPP system. It is thought that at least women will be free to mark their own second and third preferences. As can be seen from the above description of the voting, where it followed the ideal promoted by the Electoral Commission, women may have had more choice. However, in the many places where the "Enga system" was followed, women appear to have had no more choice than they had following the FPP system in the 2002 Elections.

#### Electoral Roll

Electoral officials say they had to use the same roll in the By-Election as in 2002, because it was a continuation of the 2002 National Elections. It is recognised that there are many invented "ghost" names of non-existent people on this roll (*Post-Courier* 2 Dec 2004:11). There are also incidences of the same name appearing in several places on the roll. There is a striking disparity between numbers on the electoral roll and census figures. The 2000 Census records 58,750 persons in the Wabag District (National Statistical Office of PNG, *Final Figures*). The Wabag District includes Wabag Urban LLG, Wabag Rural LLG and Maramuni Rural LLG – the areas covered by the By-Election. This census figure of 58,750 includes children. Based on census figures, the number of people eighteen years and over and therefore eligible to vote would be about half that figure. Yet the numbers on the electoral roll are approaching that same figure. Thus, it appears that the numbers on the roll are inflated by almost 100%.

"Ghosts" or not, 53,867 papers were counted in the Wabag By-Election. However, unlike the election two years previously, 17,133 papers were unused and returned in 2004. This shows a change from the previous practice of community leaders, having exhausted the names on the roll, continuing to mark all available ballot papers anyway until they were all used up. Returning more unused ballot papers no doubt has reduced the level of double (multiple) voting.

## Differences Between 2002 and 2004

Of the twenty-five candidates for the Wabag Open electorate in 2002. only six contested the By-Election. These included the top four from the 2002 Elections. The other two were in strategic positions to split votes, like Paul Nili, who helped Dan Kapi by taking Yakane tribe votes away from Sam Abal. The other, Meck Warip, scored only two votes in 2002, but received 317 votes in 2004 - votes that might otherwise have gone to Kapi. Of the various reasons why some chose not to contest, four were surely discouraged by not receiving a single vote in 2002. Another reason could be the lack of support from political parties. In the National Elections, parties will endorse a candidate and pay the nomination fee. This level of support was not available in the 2004 By-Election. The thirteen new candidates included vote splitters like Geoffrey Apakali, men with money like Amos Yali (who had contested a previous by-election), and Jacob Yangari - a businessman and brother-in-law of Sam Abal, who had supported him in 2002 and appeared to be competing with him in 2004.

In some respects, it is difficult to make a direct comparison between the results of the two elections because in the 2002 Elections, many ballot papers were destroyed or not counted. In addition, some polling places were combined resulting in eight fewer polling places in 2004. Electoral officials say this change was rationalisation based on population patterns. Details for the 2002 Elections supplied by the Returning Officer, Henry Kyakas, are tabulated next and discussed below.

Table 1 Wabag Open 2002: Ballot papers issued

Details for Wabag Open Electorate (2002)	No. of Ballot Papers
Number of ballot papers issued as per common roll	80,000
Number of ballot papers not issued and burned by officials	12,168
Number of ballot papers issued to 72 polling places	67,832
Number of ballot papers returned from polling in 72 boxes	63,654 <sup>d</sup>
Number of ballot papers destroyed at polling places	4,178
Number of ballot papers burnt at Wabag Police Station in 6 boxes	7,693°
Number of ballot papers rejected/not counted in seven boxes	5,023 <sup>b</sup>
Number of ballot papers counted at scrutiny in 57 boxes	51,002°

Note: a+b+c should = d, but the numbers supplied do not tally.

Despite the destruction of papers and boxes in 2002, it is possible to compare some details of the two elections, summarised in the following table.

Table 2 Wabag Open: Comparison 2002 and 2004

	2002	2004
Number of candidates	25	19
Number of polling places	72	64
Ballot papers issued to polling places	67,832	71,000
Ballot papers counted	51,002	53,867
Ballot papers unused in polling places and returned or burned by officials	4,178	17,133
Ballot boxes destroyed or disputed and not counted	13	All counted

## Counting

Counting began nine days after polling finished, mainly due to the time required to set up a large board to record the results and a tent for the counting. In an effort to be transparent, the count was conducted in front of the Wabag administrative building. Here, in the presence of strong security, the public could witness the counting process. Counting was done by teams of public servants in shifts from 8am to 6pm, with ten boxes to a shift. Teams were given a day of training before the election and each team was given last minute instructions on the procedures before taking up their duty. Counting of first preferences took six days and the distribution of preferences three days, making it nine days in all before a winner could be declared.

Table 3 Wabag Open 2002-2004: Votes for leading candidates

	200	)2	2004				
			First Prefe	erences	Final (	Count	
	Votes %		Votes	%	Votes	%	
Samuel Abal	12,438	24.7	20,230	37.87	25,754	53.19	
Daniel Kapi	10,234	20.3	15,922	29.81	22,661	46.81	
	45.00%		68.70%		100%		

One may see from the details above that in 2004 both candidates gained a much higher percentage of first preferences, supporting the view that the election was very much a contest between these two. One may also see from the Appendix below how Abal and Kapi figure in the top three candidates in seventy-nine percent of the polling places overall, and ninety percent of the polling places in the Wabag District (excluding Maramuni).

With the LPV System, once the first preferences are counted, and if no one has an absolute majority, then candidates are excluded, starting with the one with the least votes. The next valid preferences for that candidate are then dealt out to the other candidates. This continues until one candidate obtains more than fifty percent of the valid votes still in that count. Each voter should mark three preferences (1,2,3) which all carry the same weight as they are the same vote. If the voter's choice has been transferred and counted three times, the vote is then "exhausted", and drops out of the count. The absolute majority of fifty percent plus one is based on the number of "live"

votes in the count, which, after several redistributions, is reduced. Hence, the absolute majority can be much fewer than half the number of votes in the original count of first preferences. The following table shows how preferences were dealt to the two leading candidates.

**Table 4 Distribution of Preferences to Leading Candidates** 

Exclusions	Primary Vote	Number of Preferences	Kapi	Abal	Percentage of Preferences
	Vote	Redistributed			to Both
1. Alfred Dean B Saitos	3	3	1	0	33%
2. John Kapi	6	6	1	1	33%
3. David Kaiti Kandiu	10	10	1	2	30%
4. Lyons Paul Putupen	68	68	24	21	66%
5. Enga Anderson Aipit	71	72	19	15	47%
6. Geoffrey Apakali	172	175	133	11	82%
7. Meck Warip	317	319	90	90	56%
8. Teiakane Enokae	413	421	71	154	53%
9. Mek Alphone Kun	534	538	140	132	51%
10. Michael Kamap Kandiu	878	873	327	267	68%
11. Japi Ambi	907	981	280	400	69%
12. Paul Nili	1157	1203	369	553	77%
13. Kelly Aiyok	1011	1082	342	318	61%
14. Jacob Utaeng Yagari	1492	1499	464	607	71%
15. Takai Kapi	2580	2656	895	433	50%
16. Amos Yaoufo Yali	3466	3149	1704	1126	89%
17. Minal Keoa Marinki	4181	3272	1878	1394	100%
Votes gained through preferences			6739 -30%	5524 -21%	4

As seen in Table 4 (above), with the exception of exclusion 6, and perhaps 8 and 15, the assignment of preferences was relatively equitable. One can see also that only in exclusion 6, 16 and of course the last – 17– was there a clear concentration of votes for the two

dominant candidates. This is evidence against the argument that strong candidates arranged for voters to give preferences to minor candidates to gain their preferences. If they did try to do this, it appears not to have been very effective (the preferences of the two leading candidates themselves would not have been counted).

### **Questionable Returns**

Ballot boxes from several places contained votes for only one candidate. In Enga this is often a sign that people at that rest house agreed to "turn the table" and vote together for one candidate. Otherwise, it can be a sign that a ballot box was "highjacked" and surreptitiously filled with voting papers for one candidate. Examples in the 2004 By-Election include the box from Biak (Pai) which contained 434 votes for Minal Keoa Marinki and none for anyone else. Another, from Net, contained 236 of 237 for Sam Abal and none for Daniel Kapi. The ballot boxes from these two rest houses were disputed (Biak) or destroyed (Net) in the 2002 Elections, so it is not possible to make comparisons. Some results can be compared however. Consider the three following polling places:

Table 5 Votes for Leading Candidates at Selected Booths

Polling Place	Votes	Votes for Abal		for D. Kapi	
	2002	2004	2002	2004	
Tole	18	8	715	3389 from a total of 3408	
Kaimatok	0	858 from a total of 867	0	0	ln 2002 all (860) votes to Takai Kapi
Penale	0	93 from a total of 94	0	0	In 2002 all (288) votes to Takai Kapi

In 2002, a total of 1035 votes were cast at the Tole rest house, sixtynine percent of them for Daniel Kapi. In 2004, the number of votes cast at that rest house had increased by over 200 percent, with Daniel Kapi claiming ninety-nine percent of them. In 2004, there were almost 4000 on the common roll at Tole because two polling places from 2002 were combined at Tole for the 2004 By-Election. Still, the high percentage of votes to Daniel Kapi must raise questions as to how the voting was conducted. With Kaimatok and Penale, both very isolated rest houses, it appears that people have a habit of block voting. In 2002, the boxes from those two rest houses contained only votes for the local candidate, Takai Kapi. In 2004, with a few exceptions, the boxes contained votes for Sam Abal. At that stage, Takai Kapi had lost favour in Maramuni, however, his supporters voted against Daniel Kapi, thus supporting Sam Abal because Takai Kapi had been unseated by Daniel Kapi in a court challenge following the 1997 National Elections.

## **Voting Patterns**

There are many factors influencing voting patterns. Nine principal factors include:

- 1. The "base vote" for a candidate in his "home" polling place.
- 2. Alliances (with the Enga Provincial Government) through the councillor. Many councillors see it in their own interest to maintain and strengthen those links with the government in power.
- 3. Alliances through marriage.
- 4. Experience of receiving projects such as roads while either Dan Kapi was sitting member, or Sam Abal was Provincial Administrator.
- 5. Threats and intimidation. It is alleged that at least one candidate was present holding a firearm during voting.
- 6. "Tanim tebol". This could be linked to force and fear.
- 7. Disruption due to tribal fighting, which meant in some cases that groups had to vote out of their own home area, and in other cases, that there was a poor turnout which outsiders could take advantage of (as happened with the Rakamanda vote).
- 8. "Money politics" such as paying money into school accounts, then withdrawing the money and distributing it to voters.
- 9. As in Maramuni, leaders tried to co-ordinate support for one candidate (Marinki) at the expense of another (Takai Kapi).

These and other interrelated factors are illustrated in the Appendix to this paper.

## **Vote Splitting**

The 2004 Elections continued the practice of vote-splitting that had been part of previous elections. This became evident in rallies held for the two main candidates, Abal and Kapi. Weaker candidates would accompany either of the two main candidates and indirectly show support for their strong affiliate. For example, many people are of the opinion that Geoffrey Apakali was in a position to split the Abal vote, especially at the Aipiyape clan's rest house at Keas. Apakali had supported Abal in the 2002 Elections, but after losing his job with the lpatas Government, he was reputed to have become an opponent of Abal, who was considered a supporter of lpatas. One can see in the sixth exclusion (see Table 4) that 133 (76%) of Apakali's preferences went to Kapi, with only eleven (6%) going to Abal.

Some people were surprised when two blood brothers from Irelya, Michael and David Kandiu, both paid nomination fees to contest in the By-Election. A few weeks later, however, David Kandiu stepped down because he found that both he and his brother had the same motive: to prevent Sam Abal from getting votes in Irelya, which is the home of Governor Ipatas. It was clear during the campaign rallies that Governor Ipatas was supporting Samuel Abal. As a consequence, at the Irelya rest house, Michael Kandiu received 612 first preference votes as against 433 for Sam Abal, indicating that when faced with "gunpoint democracy" Ipatas can be vulnerable even in his home.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Political Parties**

In the 2002 Elections, eleven of twenty-five candidates stood as independents. In the 2004 Elections, seventeen of nineteen stood as independents with only two being officially endorsed by parties: Kelly Aiyok by the United Party and Takai Kapi by the United Resources Party. During their campaigning, both Abal and Kapi claimed connections with the ruling National Alliance Party (even though Abal had been affiliated with the PNG Party). Since being elected, Abal has joined with the National Alliance Party. It seems that the party affiliation of candidates was not a very significant factor in voting patterns.

#### Churches

The churches, particularly the Catholic Church, preached against corruption in politics, but it is questionable that this had much effect. Pastors were called in for prayers at the beginning of campaign rallies. Supporters on both sides claimed that their candidate was chosen by God. Afterwards, on 23 December, there was a "dedication" of Samuel Abal, with a public worship service conducted by pastors from most of the churches in Wabag Town. Samuel is portrayed as a devout Christian and rumours have it that the Governor once made a somewhat disparaging remark, "Wane emba pasta jepenge mende mee memba jipilamo" (Boy, you are more fit to become a pastor than a member of parliament)!

#### Conclusion

It is difficult to say to what degree the LPV System was really tested in the Wabag Open By-Election. The focus throughout remained on first preferences, and the local political culture involving intimidation and material enticements appeared to dominate both during the campaign period and on election day. Technically, Samuel Tei Abal won using preferences. However, the preferences were somewhat incidental and reinforced the trend as he was well ahead across most of the electorate with the first preference vote. Although his rival, Daniel Kapi, picked up more preference votes to start closing the gap between them, the primary vote lead by Abal ensured his victory.

What are the advantages of the LPV System? Firstly, people seem to like it, as they feel it gives them more choice and a chance to tell more than one candidate that they will vote for them or have voted for them. Secondly, though the strong police presence made a difference, no doubt having more choice helped to reduce tensions and to make the By-Election more peaceful. Thirdly, where national rules of conduct were followed, people, particularly women, had more choice than they would have had under the FPP System.

As to the disadvantages, first, people appeared not to understand the LPV System; so more education is needed before the next general elections. Second, the accuracy of the vote is still hampered by an inadequate common roll. This must be rectified in each council ward before 2007. Third, it appears that the LPV System takes longer for counting and so increases the cost of elections, and risks raising tensions in the community. It took nine days for counting in the By-Election for one electorate. Election officials estimate that in the next general elections, they will need to have teams counting day and night in order to complete the counting in time.

There are two other factors not directly connected with LPV, but of major importance for future elections in the Enga Province. First, there were 800 extra police brought into the province at a cost of K3.5 million. In any future general elections, will so many police be available to come to the province? If so, they will be spread over five electorates, thus lessening their effectiveness in any one place. The 2004 Wabag Open By-Election shows no indication of whether there can be a peaceful election without an overwhelming police presence.

Second, no matter how hard the police work or how honest some electoral officials may be, the practice of using public servants as presiding officers is open to abuse, because in the local political culture, public servants have a vested interest in promoting the government of the day. Moreover, other public servants such as teachers say that it is better not to vote at all than to risk voting for the "wrong" candidate. This issue is a major factor influencing the integrity of the administration in the Highlands region.

In Enga Province, with a flawed electoral roll, the continued focus on first preferences, the ever present prospect of intimidation or violence, and shortcomings in the system of choosing impartial presiding officers, the full effect of reforms through the LPV System in Enga remains to be seen.<sup>6</sup>

#### **ENDNOTES**

- 1 For a revealing commentary the Political Culture of Enga during the 2002 Elections, see the film *Tanim* from Faraway Pictures, www.tanim.cc
- 2 National court decision on EP 57/2002 upheld on 21 Mar 2003. Supreme Court Review 16 of 2003 delivered on 30 July 2004 by Judges Kapi and Los and Salika.

- 3 The late Takai Kapi won the Wabag Open seat in 1997, but Dan Kapi gained the seat in a court challenge. Takai Kapi was overseas when the decision was made. His consequent challenge to the electoral commission was settled out of court. Takai was counting on money from this settlement to help him in the 2002 and 2004 Elections, however, he did not receive the payment before he died in 2005.
- 4 Three people by the name of Kapi took prominence in the elections. Daniel Kapi is from the Piyao clan at Tole near Wabag. Takai Kapi and John Kapi are brothers, both being the sons of another man named Kapi who had several wives. John Kapi's mother is from Tole the same place as Daniel Kapi, while Takai Kapi's mother is from Maramuni. (*Kaapi* means "sour" in Enga).
- 5 See Standish 1996.
- 6 I wish to thank the electoral officials and people from Enga who patiently helped me by responding to my endless questions.

#### REFERENCES

- Gibbs, P. 2004. "Politics, Religion and the Churches: The 2002 Election in Papua New Guinea." http://rspas.anu.edu.au/melanesia/working.php
- Kanaparo, P. 2003. "Democracy in Flames: Behaviours and Actions in the Heart of Wabag Town." *Catalyst* 33:2. Pp 117-129.
- Lakane, J. and P. Gibbs. 2003. "Haves and Have-Nots: The 2002 Elections in the Enga Province of PNG." *Catalyst* 33:2. Pp 96-116.
- National Statistical Office of Papua New Guinea. 2002. Papua New Guinea 2000 Census, Final Figures. Port Moresby.
- Standish, B. 1966. "Elections in Chimbu: Towards Gunpoint Democracy?" In Yaw Saffu (Ed.). *The 1992 PNG Elections: Changing and Continuity in Electoral Politics*. Canberra: Australian National University. Pp 277-322.

## APPENDIX

## Wabag Open By-Election 2004: Voting by Polling Booth

Polling	Polling	Total	Major	Comments
Station	Station	Votes	Recipients	
	No.	Allowed		
Tukusenda	1	173	Samuel Abal 95	Alliances with present
			Daniel Kapi 49	,
			Amos Yali 18	Government (EPG) through
				Councillor.
Aipanda	2	1236	Samuel Abal 566	Because of tribal fighting
			Daniel Kapi 369	the people were divided.
			Amos Yali 147	
Aipanda (at	3	747	Samuel Abal 458	Alliances with present
Tambitanis)			Daniel Kapi 243	Provincial Government
			Jacob Yagari 18	through Councillor.
Tambitanis	4	1271	Samuel Abal 394	Majority of vote went to
}			Daniel Kapi 258	Abal because of the
1			Amos Yali 234	Councillor's alliance with
				the EPG.
Lakolam	5	411	Samuel Abal 246	Polled in Aipanda and
(Divided	}		Daniel Kapi 95	Wabag as their territory
and polled			Yaco Yagari 39	abandoned after tribal
Aipanda				fighting. Former Councillor
and in	}			(killed in tribal fight) was in
Wabag)	Ì			alliance with Sam Abal.
1	ì			His son continues this
1		1		alliance.
Lakolam	6	184	Samuel Abal 113	Same councillor as above.
1		-	Daniel Kapi 49	
	Ì	l	Jacob Yagari 7	
Kubalis	7	314	Jacob Yagari 218	Base vote for Yagari.
	-		Sam Abal 87	
			Japi Ambi 4	
L <u> </u>				

Yakananda	8	581	Jacob Yagari 254	Base vote for Yagari.
[ ]			Sam Abal 158	Yagari's wife is from Sam
[			Daniel Kapi 101	Abal's clan so it is
, ,				surprising that Yagari
}	1			apppears to be standing
				"against" Sam.
Nandi	9	745	Sam Abal 480	People "sorry" for Sam w ho
1			Daniel Kapi 170	1
1			Jacob Yagari 56	case. Dan Kapi is called
1	1			"kosakali" (court man) in a
				disparaging way, because
				of his court action.
Sakarip	10	792	Sam Abal 504	Same as above. Also the
			Dan Kapi 239	EPG had built a school in
			Marinki 20	this ward.
Sopas (ples	11	775	Don Kapi 341	Daniel promised to reopen
singsing)			Sam Abal 241	the Sopas health centre.
3 3,			Michael Kandiu 38	·
Sopas	12	204	Don Kapi 73	Same as above.
(Lakaiok)	12	204	Kelly Aiyok 60	r s
(Lakalok)			Sam Abal 36	}
Kiw i	13	961	Dan Kapi 427	Used to be a base for Dan
INW I	13	301	Sam Abal 327	1
			Michael Kandiu 62	,
			Michael Naridiu 02	constructed by the present
				Provincial Government.
				1
				However, some members
				of Kapi's clan live at Kiw i. In
				2002 the Kapi/Abal vote
				w as 492/343.
Kaiap	14	1046	Sam Abal 548	1
			Dan Kapi 352	present EPG and Sam Abal.
1			Mek Kun 90	1 J
				by road to Wabag have to
				travel through Dan Kapi's
				base area. In 2002 the
				Abal/Kapi vote w as
				471/276.
				,*

Kamas	15	917	Kelly Aiyok 625	Base vote area for Kelly Aiyok.
Tallias	13	317	Sam Abal 143	,
	Ì		Dan Kapi 113	( )
			Dan Napi 115	almost equally between Sam Abal
	}			and Dan Kapi.
	<u> </u>			
Kopen	16	707	Sam Abal 390	
	}		Dan Kapi 236	, , ,
	]		Amos Yali 42	the election, K60,000 paid by
				Government (supporting Sam
				Abal) into school account and
	}			then distributed in the local
				community.
Sari	17	1314	Dan Kapi 558	The local Kala clan is split with
			Sam Abal 511	one group supporting Dan Kapi
			Amos Yali 215	and the other Sam Abal (through
	}			the administrator Sam Amean)
	}			K18,000 paid by government into
				Sari school account and then
				distributed in the local community
	l			but this did not stop Kapi turning
				around the vote. In 2002 the
				Abal/Kapi vote w as 386/214.
Tole	18	3408	Dan Kapi 3389	Base vote for Dan Kapi. The
			Sam Abal 18	Kokopo clan w hich voted
			John Kapi 1	separately in the 2002 election
				included in 2004 at Tole. Some
				supporters of Sam Abal were not
				given a chance to vote. Rumour
				has it that there was no normal
				voting at Tole. In 2002 there was a
				block vote of 2291 for Dan Kapi.
Teremanda	19	1338	Sam Abal 682	Sam Abal helped by the
no.1			Dan Kapi 389	headmaster of Wabag Secondary
(Yaumanda)			Amos Yali 255	and by Sir Albert Kipalan who is
				from here. The politics goes back
				to the 2003-04 race for Governor
				General in w hich Daniel Kapi took
				sides against Sir Albert.

Teremanda no.2   20   935   Amos Yali 786   Base vote for Dan Kapi 91   Sam Abal 49     Teremanda   21   1222   Amos Yali 559   Base vote from Sam Abal 490   For Yali 559   Dan Kapi 151     Wabag   22   987   Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Cam Abal 440   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   The Yapakon clair (Tritogram)   Campantary of Dan Kapi 535   Campantary	li.
Sam Abal 49	li.
(Kw imas)         Sam Abal 490 Dan Kapi 151         for Yam           Wabag         22         987         Dan Kapi 535         The Yapakon class	li.
(Kw imas)         Sam Abal 490 Dan Kapi 151         for Yam           Wabag         22         987         Dan Kapi 535         The Yapakon class	li.
Dan Kapi 151	
Wabag 22 987 Dan Kapi 535 The Yapakon clar	n are strong
(Taitengis)   Sam Abal 419   supporters of Da	an Kapi. He
Amos Yali 15 started a road th	rough their
area from Panda	- 1
Lakemanda 23 1291 Sam Abal 856 Sam Abal and ti	he Council
(Plakaris) Dan Kapi 418 President who	o is from
Amos Yali 8 Lakemanda, are	e brothers.
Also they want S	Sam and the
government to de	evelop their
land on the other	
Lai river from W	abag tow n.
Lakemanda 24 687 Dan Kapi 473 The Kalepatae	clan are
(Teleris) Sam Abal 205 supporters of Dai	n Kapi partly
Kelly Aiyok 4 because of the	Pandam to
Tole road he	started.
Sakalis 25 617 Sam Abal 355 Abal base vote f	rom Aw aini
Dan Kapi 161 phratry alli	ance.
Takai Kapi 38	
Sakali 26 529 Dan Kapi 313 Kapi got supp	ort here
(Sangurap)   Sam Abal 207   because his for	mer project
Amos Yali 9 officer Arnold To	ombe of the
Sapip clan is fron	n here. They
w ould like to see	e Arnold as
government pro	ject officer
again again	
Keas 27 2058 Sam Abal 1568 Base vote for S	Sam Abal.
Dan Kapi 361 Some voted for h	Kapi, having
Geof Apakali 95 benefited from w	hen he w as
in parliament for	, ,
before the 2002	elections.
Apakali is there	to split the
Abal vo	te.

itelya	28	1291	Michael Kandiu 612	Kandiu was able to split what
(Talyulu)			Sam Abal 433	would have been an Abal vote.
(,,			Jacob Yagari 122	It is said that Michael Kandiu
			,	carried a firearm at the polling
				place on the day of polling.
Itelya	29	799	Sam Abal 383	
(Aipos-			Dan Kapi 356	Talyaga is in opposition to
Sikita)			Jacob Yagari 36	Governor lpatas. Along with
				National Alliance party support,
]				he joined Dan Kapi in opposing
Ì				Sam Abal.
Lenki	30	672	Sam Abal 293	Lenki is very close to the base
{			Dan Kapi 175	of Governor lpatas. How ever
	•		Amos Yali 85	some people were not happy
				with the Governor assaulting
				Dan Kapi near Lenki at the
				home of Rex Paki.
Lenki (Pipi)	31	1199	Paul Nili 1092	Base vote for Paul Nili of the
	1		Sam Abal 76	Paliyu Clan. Allied with Dan
	1		Geof Apakali 25	Kapi, he took Yakane tribe
				votes aw ay from Sam Abal.
Ainumanda	32	977	Sam Abal 483	Government popular here
			Dan Kapi 358	because of road project and
			Amos Yali 61	classrooms built during the time
				of Councillor and now public
				servant Nixon Ainu. People
				w ere still polling here at 8pm
				when the police came to stop
				voting and collect the boxes.
Rakamanda	33	768	Sam Abal 372	People deserted Rakamanda
(Voted in			Dan Kapi 205	after tribal fighting, so they
Wabag)			Amos Yali 68	were to vote in Wabag.
				How ever the clan is dispersed
				so others came in to vote when
				names were called. The scene
				was chaotic, and half the ballot
				papers were returned and
1				destroyed by officials.

Yokomanda	34	497	Japi Ambi 496	Base for Japi Ambi. Appears
, one mariou			Daniel Kapi 1	that they "turned the table".
Yokomanda	35	411	Sam Abal 168	People here have been fighing
(Double bridge)	- 55	- ' '	Dan Kapi 117	with the other Yokomanda sub-
(Double bridge)			Amos Yali 78	clan so did not give their vote
			Allos Tail 10	to Ambi.
lmi (Naputesa)	36	652	Dan Kapi 209	Called "Tole no.2" because a
in (Napatesa)	- 50	032	Teiakali Enokae 185	supporter of Daniel, a
			Sam Abal 153	previous provincial
			Odili Abdi 100	administrator Penjole Pilyo, is
				from here. This seat can
				swing because in 2002 they
,				gave their 624 votes to Pesh
				Wilson, 290 to Daniel Kapi and
				42 to Sam Abal. By 2004 Pesh
				Wilson's would have had no
				base vote any more as the
				area had been devastated by
				l
				tribal fighting.
lmi-Makapmanda	37	881	Dan Kapi 406	Similar to the above.
			Sam Abal 398	
			Jacob Yagari 42	
Wee (Yokora)	38	617	Sam Abal 427	Sam got support from the
			Jabi Ambi 58	councillor and people call Dan
			Teiakali Enokai 49	Kapi "kosakali".
Birip (Community	39	784	Dan Kapi 339	Supporters of Dan Kapi
School)			Sam Abal 234	through the influence of
			Amos Yali 111	Matthew Parep who had
				stood in 2002 as a regional
				candidate.
Birip	40	792	Sam Abal 360	The owner of the Powas
			Dan Kapi 190	service station in Sam's home
			Amos Yali 129	base comes from Birip. Others
				of Sam Abal's relatives are
		1		married here too.
Akom	41	926	Dan Kapi 533	There are two National
(Kerapusamanda)	• •		Sam Abal 391	Alliance groups in Enga, one
(1 22 37 23 23 24 7			Japi Ambi 2	led by Paul Kutai at Kaiap and
			Supi / tilbi Z	the other based here in
				alliance with Dan Kapi.
<u>L</u>			· .	

Akom (Birip) Wee 42	648	Amos Yali 250 Sam Abal 161	People from here are
		Sam Ahai 161 i	
			refugees after tribal
1 1	1	Dan Kapi 137	fighting and have had
L			financial help from Yali.
Lukitap 43	1700	Dan Kapi 897	Same as with polling place
1 . }	1	Sam Abal 694	no.41 above.
		Teiakane Enokai 56	
Waimalemanda 44	496	Sam Abal 290	Alliance with the EPG
1 1	1	Dan Kapi 97	through the Councillor.
	}	Takai Kapi 37	
Pyaulama 45	547	Sam Abal 486	Alliance with the EPG
		Dan Kapi 41	through the Councillor.
		Jacob Yagari 18	
Kereapusamanda 46	1035	Sam Abal 519	Influence of historical
		Dan Kapi 389	alliances. Sam Abal's
		Amos Yali 37	paternal grandfather came
			from the Kandep area
			after a serious frost in
			Kandep. Sam's
			grandfather was helped
1 1			by people at
			Kerapusamanda. Later,
			after Sam Abal's
			grandfather was killed by
			the Piyao clan, he was
			cared for by the
			Kerapusamanda people.
Yailengis 47	760	Dan Kapi 223	Because of tribal fighting
(Sirunki)		Sam Abal 170	there were no residents
		Amos Yali 120	present. Others came in
			and voted.
Wabag 48	743	Sam Abal 333	This is not far from Sam's
(District Office)		Dan Kapi 201	base vote area. The
Wabag LLG		Amos Yali 129	voters are a mixed group
Wards 1&2			of public servants and
			businessmen and the
	į		voting reflects that mix.
Sakalis Market 49	567	Sam Abal 279	Similar to above.
Wabag LLG		Dan Kapi 186	
Wards 3&4		Takai Kapi 44	

New tow n	50	207	Sam Abal 120	Close to Sam's base vote
(Aipus)			Dan Kapi 69	area. Many public servants
Wabag LLG		1	Jakob Yagari 8	voting.
Wards 5,6&7	1	1	cance ragante	
	51	475	Marinki 378	Maramuni base vote for
Biak	31	4/5	Sam Abal 56	Marinki.
	,	1		ivaring.
	1		Dan Kapi 35	
Biak (Pal)	52	434	Marinki 434	Maramuni base vote for
				Marinki ("tanim tebol"?).
Malandu	53	1796	Marinki 1079	Maramuni base vote for
(Pokale)			Dan Kapi 384	Marinki. Used to be base for
			Takai Kapi 192	Takai Kapi, but Maramuni
				leaders asked Takai Kapi to
	1			stand down in favour of
				Marinki, w ho is the medical
		{		superintendent at Popondetta
				Provincial Hospital.
Pasalagus	54	1330	Marinki 723	Maramuni base vote for
			Mek Warip 282	Marinki. Used to be base
1		{	Takai Kapi 252	vote for Takai Kapi.
Wailep	55	825	Takai Kapi 376	Base for Takai Kapi. Yet, for
wallep	55	023	Marinki 311	the above reasons Marinki
			Sam Abal 118	l i
			Sam Abai 116	still got a lot of support.
Tongori	56	498	Marinki 406	Same as above.
		l	Takai Kapi 88	
1	}		Dan Kapi 2	
Kaimatok	57	867	Sam Abal 858	Used to be base vote for
			Takai Kapi 64	Takai Kapi. Sam w on here
	ļ		Marinki 2	because supported by Takai
				Kapi's brother John. This was
		İ		also partly because Dan Kapi
				had ousted Takai Kapi from
				parliament in 2000 so they
].				wanted to support whoever
]		1		w as opposing Dan Kapi.
Wangalongen	58	409	Dan Kapi 149	Takai Kapi still had influence
vvarigalorigen	50	409	Takai Kapi 138	here despite the surge of
			Sam Abal 84	support for Marinki in other
			Sam Abai 84	
			<u> </u>	parts of Maramuni.

Neliyaku	59	746	Sam Abal 709 Takai Kapi 21 Marinki 16	The Neliyaku people came to Kaimarok after a tribal fight. Abal w on even though Kapi's mother comes from close by.
liya	60	737	Sam Abal 333 Marinki 249 Takai Kapi 114	Vote for Sam Abal is a vote against Dan Kapi.
Poreaki	61	693	Takai Kapi 466 Sam Abal 137 Marinki 67	Base for Takai Kapi. Vote for Sam is a vote against Dan Kapi.
Warakom	62	857	Takai Kapi 694 Dan Kapi 92 Sam Abal 44	Takai Kapi sent to here (his mother's place) after dispute with his brother John Kapi.
Penale- Kopin	63	94	Putupen 93 Takai Kapi 1	Very isolated place with few services. Base for Putupen.
Net (Korokot)	64	237	Sam Abal 236 Takai Kapi 1	Very isolated place with few services, but have been getting some attention recently from the EPG so want this to continue with Sam Abal. (Little police presence - "Tanim tebol"?)